Competency Development Workshop for Bridge Managers in Global R&D Projects

Nawarerk Chalarak, and Naoshi Uchihira

Abstract—Competency development is a practice for developing specific competencies for particular purposes to improve job performance of individuals. The manager competency development becomes more important as managers are facing more challenges and higher expectations under the increasing complexity of global R&D projects. This study aims at designing a competency development workshop for bridge managers who facilitate research collaboration in global R&D projects. This study proposes a workshop method to gain advantages of intensive discussion and knowledge sharing between participants to develop competencies. The workshop consists of a case scenario, group discussion, and competency assessment questionnaire. Three participants indicated differences in their prioritization by using the analytic hierarchy process to compare priority of competencies between before and after the discussion session. The results suggest that participation in the workshop may influence the opinion of managers about the importance of different competencies. This activity could be considered as a method for managers to develop crucial competencies for facilitating global R&D projects.

Index Terms—global R&D project, bridge manager, competency development, workshop.

I. INTRODUCTION

► LOBAL R&D projects become more complex and Jdifficult to manage as they involve multidisciplinary research fields and the advancement of technology. Research activities in the project require intensive care from management. R&D bridge managers (BMs) are employed to bridge the gaps between headquarters and foreign R&D teams in global R&D projects. BMs face difficulties when facilitating research collaboration between two sides. Specific competencies are needed for BMs to solve difficulties and to work effectively in the projects. Especially, in the increasing business competition, BMs help their company to achieve strategic goals by possessing competencies to solve difficulties in global R&D projects. However, competency development takes time, and the managers cannot catch up with the growing demand of global R&D management. Traditionally, the competency development of BMs takes some time and highly relies on on-the-job experience.

The project manager competency development framework (PMCDF) developed by the project management institute (PMI) is an established and well-known framework of competency development for managers for a broad application [1]. This kind of framework provides definition, assessment, and development of competency. Organizations can adapt PMCDF to suit their requirements and organizational context. The organizations can utilize a workshop based on PMCDF to assess existing competencies and develop the required competencies of managers [2, 3]. It is possible to apply the competency development workshop in the context of global R&D projects to assess and develop the competencies of BMs.

This paper aims to propose a competency development method for BMs by using workshop in which participants engage in an intensive discussion about crucial competencies for facilitating global R&D projects. This method highlights the importance of individual interaction to exchange knowledge. Based on the analytic hierarchy process (AHP) results, we found that the BM's decisions regarding importance of competencies for solving difficulties in facilitating global R&D projects have changed after participated in the workshop.

II. BRIDGE MANAGER COMPETENCIES FOR FACILITATING GLOBAL R&D PROJECTS

A. Difficulties in Global R&D Projects

Multinational companies establish R&D subsidiaries in foreign countries to gain access to sources of specialized knowledge and expand their markets. The foreign R&D subsidiaries conduct research in collaboration with headquarters in the home country to achieve strategic goals of the organization. Researchers from different organizations work together in global R&D projects to exchange knowledge and information intensively. R&D investigates into a particular topic in order to improve understanding of established knowledge, especially basic research which acquires new knowledge without a predefined goal or target application of the knowledge [4]. R&D projects are prone to the uncertainty of outcomes and complexity of the process. Global R&D projects have additional challenges as project members have diverse cultural backgrounds and work in different physical locations [5]. Project members work across space, time, and organizational boundaries to accomplish interdependent tasks.

The managers in charge of global R&D projects confront more challenges to manage the projects which involve team members from different organizations and their communication mostly relies on technology-supported tools [6]. In the case of large projects in which R&D activities are more complicated, dedicated managers are necessary for facilitating research activities. Bridge managers (BMs) play

Nawarerk Chalarak is with the Graduate School of Advanced Science and Technology, Japan Advanced Institute of Science and Technology (JAIST), Nomi, Ishikawa, 923-1292 Japan, Corresponding author e-mail: <u>chalarak@jaist.ac.jp</u>.

Naoshi Uchihira is with the School of Knowledge Science, Japan Advanced Institute of Science and Technology (JAIST), Nomi, Ishikawa, 923-1292 Japan e-mail: <u>uchihira@jaist.ac.jp</u>.

an important role in global R&D projects for team building, eliminating gaps between headquarters and foreign R&D subsidiaries [7], and decomposing R&D requirements and assign them to project members in R&D subsidiaries [8]. BMs encounter difficulties in facilitating global R&D projects, including quality control, research approach guidance, requirement clarification, and team communication [9] as summarized in Table I. The difficulties cause unsatisfactory outcomes, delay in a project progress, and impact the project performance and the competitive advantage of the company.

 TABLE I

 DIFFICULTIES OF BMS WHEN FACILITATING GLOBAL R&D PROJECTS

| Difficulty | Description |
|--------------------|--|
| Quality control | Teams at headquarters and foreign R&D teams |
| | have different outcome expectations. |
| Research approach | Foreign R&D teams conduct research using |
| guidance | approaches that do not align with the business |
| | strategy of headquarters. |
| Requirement | Tacit and subjective elements of requirements |
| clarification | are difficult to transfer and to be fully |
| | understood by foreign R&D teams. |
| Team communication | Misunderstanding and insufficient |
| | communication between teams at headquarters |
| | and foreign R&D teams. |

B. Competencies of Managers

Competency has been defined as knowledge, skills, abilities, attitudes, and characteristics that help individuals to perform their tasks effectively [10]. Dulewicz and Higgs [11] identified three dimensions of leadership competencies, including intellectual dimensions, emotional and social dimensions, and managerial dimensions. They argued that the relationship between leadership styles and the organizational context is important because there is no single solution for effective performance. The three leadership styles are engaging (focus on producing radical change through engagement and commitment), involving (focus on significant change of business model or way of work), and goal-oriented (focus on the delivery of clearly defined results in stable context). Prior studies found that the competencies of managers associate with project success [12]. In particular, managerial and emotional competencies play a significant role in influencing projects success [12]. This relationship could help the company to develop a project improvement model where the increasing capability of managers can lead to the increasing success of project implementation.

In the case of global R&D projects where BMs play a crucial role in facilitating research collaboration, it is important for BMs to possess particular competencies to solve specific difficulties and facilitate research activities effectively. Global R&D projects have dynamically changing situations, and researchers from different organizations involved. BMs may have to regularly adapt their leadership styles and develop competencies. The competency development method is important in this regard to support BMs for their competency development. Competency development can be done using activities outside work; on the job and experiential learning are important rather than attending training courses [13]. For

BMs, the activities outside work and experiential learning are limited as they work with diverse teams across distances. Moving to and staying in different locations are costly.

III. BM COMPETENCY DEVELOPMENT WORKSHOP

A. Workshop Design

Workshop is a meeting where a group of people engages in intensive discussion on a particular subject [14]. The BM competency development workshop is designed for BMs to develop their competencies for facilitating global R&D projects by taking advantage of intensive discussion and knowledge sharing between participants. The workshop has three main components, including a case scenario study, discussion about difficulties in global R&D projects and competencies for solving difficulties, and competency assessment using a questionnaire.

The case scenario is prepared for workshop participants. This document describes situations in a global R&D project and identifies key problems related to the difficulties of BM in facilitating research activities in the project. This case scenario was reviewed by an experienced manager who took BM role in global R&D projects. It was revised based on feedback and suggestions from the manager. In the case document, first, the case describes detail of a Japanese multinational company which has R&D units in several foreign countries, including India. The company assigns a manager to a global R&D project and asks him to take care of research collaboration between headquarters in Japan and foreign R&D subsidiaries in India. This global R&D project consists of two parts, radical R&D and incremental R&D, in which the manager faces several difficulties when facilitating research collaboration. After reading the case scenario, participants should be able to understand how the BM works in global R&D projects, how researchers and engineers of headquarters and foreign R&D laboratories work together, and have an idea about difficulties of facilitating global R&D projects. This case scenario is a basic information for participants to discuss in the following step of the workshop.

In addition to the case study and discussion session, a questionnaire is developed to assess the opinion of participants regarding the importance of competencies for solving difficulties in global R&D projects. The questionnaire has three main sections, including introduction and explanation of difficulties in global R&D projects, importance of competencies for solving difficulties, and demographic information of participants. Participants are requested to answer the same questionnaire at two different occasions, before and after the discussion session.

The questionnaire utilizes AHP method helping participants to indicate the importance of several competencies by comparing two competencies at a time. The pairwise comparison is a fundamental concept of AHP. In this research, AHP helps participants to prioritize competencies that help them solve difficulties in global R&D projects. In this case, there are seven competencies as AHP options for solving difficulties in global R&D projects [15]. The seven competencies are adopted from prior studies in leadership competency [16] as shown in Table II. The AHP model is depicted in Fig. 1.

 TABLE II

 COMPETENCIES FOR SOLVING DIFFICULTIES IN GLOBAL R&D PROJECTS

| Competency | Description |
|-------------------|---|
| Knowledge | Ability of leaders or facilitators to elicit and |
| management | integrate knowledge from different cultures [17, |
| | 18]. |
| Perception (self- | Ability to understand their own emotions, strengths |
| awareness) | and weaknesses, needs and drives, sources of |
| | frustration, and reactions to problems. Capability to |
| | manage emotions and to control their impact in the |
| | workplace environment [19, 20]. |
| Resilience | Ability to have consistently in different pressing |
| | situations and adjust their behavior accordingly; |
| | recover from stress, adjust to stressful occasions, |
| | and behave above the norm regardless of stress or |
| | adversity [11, 21]. |
| Decision making | Ability to get information, judging the quality of |
| | things, services, or people [22]. |
| Understanding | Ability to obtain a worldwide perspective and to |
| worldwide | combine worldwide diversity necessary for |
| business | multinational firms [23, 24]. |
| environment | |
| Learning foreign | Ability to interact with people from diverse cultures |
| cultures and | at the same time and adjust to living in foreign |
| customs | cultures; conscious of, appreciation, thoughtful, and |
| | adjusting to cultural differences [23, 24]. |
| Communication | Ability to communicate directions and vision to |
| skills | staff, adapt communication styles to the interest of |
| | audiences, and use communication styles to inspire |
| | audiences [11]. |



Fig. 1. AHP model included seven competencies helping managers solving difficulties in global R&D projects.

After answering the questionnaire for the first time (before discussion session), participants are invited to participate in the discussion session to share their opinions regarding the case scenario and their experience in global R&D projects. Participants are asked to identify competencies for solving particular difficulties indicated in the case scenario. The researcher facilitates this discussion session and answers questions raised by participants. In the case scenario, participants are asked to assume that they are the BM who in charge of research collaboration and face several difficulties in the global R&D project. They have to think about solutions to solve difficulties, then think about competencies that could help them to accomplish those solutions. A worksheet is provided for all participants to record their individual opinions during the discussion. In addition, there is one worksheet that participants record information from brainstorming and agreed by all participants. This aims to encourage deep discussion and intensive knowledge sharing between participants. After the discussion session, participants are requested to answer the same questionnaire as before the discussion session again. Fig. 2 shows the worksheet for participants to use during brainstorming and discussion.

B. Data Analysis

The AHP method is adopted to analyze the questionnaire data. In the initial stage of this research, data were collected from three participants who have experience in global R&D projects of Japanese companies. Their information is shown in Table III. Participants compared the relative importance of pair-wise competencies at a time, and entered their judgements. The AHP results show different priorities of competencies (for solving the same difficulties) before and after the discussion session. Table IV shows top three competencies that help participants to solve quality control difficulty.

Worksheet for BM Competency Workshop

This worksheet is a supporting document for the BM Competency Workshop. There is one sheet to input information from discussion (Group sheet, all participants input together). In addition, each participant please input your ideas in another sheet (Participant 1, 2, 3).

Discussion Guideline 4 difficulties

- Different priority to deliver outcomes (quality/time/cost)
- 2) Researchers do not fully understand customer needs
- 3) Quality standard is not defined clearly
- 4) Unbalance communication to support each other between two teams
- competencies
 - 1) Knowledge management
 - 2) Perception
 - 3) Resilience

4) Decision making

- 5) Learn foreign culture
- 6) Communication7) Human resource management

3 steps thinking

Practical solution --> Abstract solution --> Competency Example

| | Practical | Abstract | Competency | | | |
|--|------------------|------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| | solution | solution | | | | |
| Difficulty | Specific actions | General | Ability, skills, or | | | |
| - | - | solutions | knowledge | | | |
| Don't know the cause of a | Ask 5-Whys | Root cause | Critical thinking | | | |
| problem | - | analysis | _ | | | |
| Increase revenue next year | Propose new | Marketing | Market knowledge | | | |
| - | product | strategy | _ | | | |
| Please fill in your ideas/opinion in the table below | | | | | | |
| | Practical | Abstract | Competency | | | |
| | solution | solution | | | | |
| Difficulty | Specific actions | General | Ability, skills, or | | | |
| - | - | solutions | knowledge | | | |
| 1) Different priority to deliver | | | | | | |
| outcomes (quality/time/cost) | | | | | | |
| 2) Researchers do not fully | | | | | | |
| understand customer needs | | | | | | |
| 3) Quality standard is not | | | | | | |
| defined clearly | | | | | | |
| 4) Unbalance communication | | | | | | |
| to support each other between | | | | | | |
| two teams | | | 1 | | | |

Fig. 2. A worksheet for the discussion session of the workshop. This worksheet provides a guideline for participants to share their ideas and information.

TABLE III Participant information

| FARTICIPANT INFORMATION | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|--|
| Competency | Position in company | Years in current position | Collaborating countries | Age range | |
| Participant 1 | CEO | 7 | Korea, China, | 20 to 30 | |
| Participant 2 | Team member | 9 | UK, France China | 31 to 40 | |
| Participant 3 | CEO | 15 | Vietnam | 41 to 50 | |

Knowledge management skills and communication skills are included in leadership competencies of BMs to facilitate global R&D projects [16]. The results of the current study show that Participant 1 and Participant 2 recognized the importance of knowledge management skills, however, Participant 3 had a different opinion as Participant 3 highlighted the importance of communication skills.

 TABLE IV

 TOP THREE COMPETENCIES FOR SOLVING QUALITY CONTROL DIFFICULTY

| Competency | Before | | After | |
|---------------|-------------|--------|-------------|--------|
| Competency | discussion | | discussion | |
| | Competency | AHP | Competency | AHP |
| | | weight | | weight |
| Participant 1 | Decision | 0.39 | Decision | 0.29 |
| | making | | making | |
| | Learning | 0.24 | Knowledge | 0.24 |
| | foreign | | management | |
| | culture | | | |
| | Communicati | 0.14 | Communicati | 0.15 |
| | on | | on | |
| Participant 2 | Human | 0.26 | Knowledge | 0.28 |
| | resource | | management | |
| | management | 0.00 | | 0.05 |
| | Knowledge | 0.23 | Communicati | 0.27 |
| | management | 0.20 | on | 0.00 |
| | Learning | 0.20 | Human | 0.20 |
| | ioreign | | resource | |
| Douticiment 2 | Communicati | 0.20 | Desision | 0.41 |
| Participant 5 | communicati | 0.29 | making | 0.41 |
| | Learning | 0.18 | Communicati | 0.21 |
| | foreign | 0.16 | on | 0.21 |
| | culture | | 011 | |
| | Perception | 0.15 | Resilience | 0.14 |
| | reception | 0.10 | 10000000 | |

Participant 1 reported a significant change in the importance of knowledge management skills whereas Participant 2 recognized the importance of knowledge management skills before the discussion session. Fig. 3 shows the changes of importance (AHP weight) of knowledge management skills for solving quality control difficulty from three participants.



Fig. 3. Importance of knowledge management skills for solving quality control difficulty, before and after the participants participated in the discussion session of the workshop.

IV. DISCUSSION

The PMCDF is designed for project managers using in broad application [1]. Organizations should adapt the framework to suit their requirements and context. It is possible to develop this kind of framework for BMs who facilitate research collaboration in the global environment as a BM competency development framework (BMCDF). The BMCDF may include definition, assessment, and development of competencies which are customized to the context of global R&D management. This research suggests that workshop has the potential to be one of the competency development activities for BMs as the results show that participants changed relative importance of competencies for solving difficulties in global R&D projects after participated in the workshop discussion.

Given the increasing need for competent managers who facilitate global R&D projects [25, 26], this research examines the effectiveness of the training workshop in leadership competency development for BMs that included case study and intensive discussion in order for the managers to analyze their competency gaps and develop required competencies by exchanging experiences and knowledge with other managers. This process is likely to provide an effective method for BMs to develop competencies in addition to the on-the-job training or traditional lecture-based training.

This research is in the initial stage and collected data from three participants. We plan to conduct more workshops to collect additional data for further analysis. There are several limitations in the current research. First, we limited to the development of leadership competencies, whereas technical competency development may reveal different results. For example, only discussion in the workshop may not lead to a significant change in the priority of technical competencies which require practical training. Further, the data collected is cross-sectional, the workshop was conducted within a certain period of time. BMs may require longer time to understand and realize the importance of competencies when they facilitate global R&D projects and assess the results.

V. SUMMARY

This research aims to propose a competency development method for BMs in global R&D projects by using a BM competency development workshop. The initial results suggest that workshop participants changed the importance of competencies after having a discussion with other participants in the workshop. It is likely that participants have a better understanding of the difficulties in global R&D projects and perceive the importance of specific competencies for solving particular difficulties through intensive discussion and knowledge sharing. The results suggest that participation in the workshop may influence the opinion of managers about the importance of competencies for facilitating global R&D projects. This activity could be considered as a method for BMs to develop crucial competencies for facilitating global R&D projects.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP20J15003.

REFERENCES

- Institute, P. M.: Project manager competency development framework. Newtown Square, PA: Project Management Institute (2017).
- [2] Mansourimoayyed, F., Colabi, A., Semiari, M.: Explaining the Competencies of Project Managers According To PMCDF Model. International Journal of Supply and Operations Management 7(4), 322-43 (2020).
- [3] Miyake, Y., Uchihira, N.: RISE model for PM training: From Tacit Knowledge to Explicit Knowledge. 9th International Conference on Project Management (ProMAC2015), pp. 414-7. Sapporo, Japan (2015).
- [4] Wingate, L. M.: Project management for research and development: guiding innovation for positive R&D outcomes: CRC press (2015).
- [5] Boutellier, R., Gassmann, O., von Zedtwitz, M.: Managing global innovation: Uncovering the secrets of future competitiveness: Springer Science & Business Media (2008).

- [6] von Zedtwitz, M. J.: Communication and Knowledge Flows in Transnational R&D Projects. Tiwari R, Buse S (eds) Managing Innovation in a Global and Digital World. Wiesbaden: Springer Gabler. pp. 227-51 (2020).
- [7] Wang, Y., Uchihira, N., Ogawa, Y.: A management model for making full use of personal ability in offshore R&D: A case study of a company manufacturing office automation equipment. 2018 Portland International Conference on Management of Engineering and Technology (PICMET), pp. 1-12. (2018).
- [8] Uchihira, N., Chalarak, N., Arunagiri, S.: The role of bridge managers in global R&D projects. 2017 Portland International Conference on Management of Engineering and Technology (PICMET), pp. 1-6. IEEE, (2017).
- [9] Chalarak, N., Uchihira, N., Sasaki, Y., Arunagiri, S.: Difficulties of global R&D projects and role of bridge managers. ISPIM Innovation Symposium, pp. 1-13. The International Society for Professional Innovation Management (ISPIM), (2017).
- [10] Boyatzis, R. E.: The competent manager: A model for effective performance: John Wiley & Sons (1982).
- [11] Dulewicz, V., Higgs, M.: Assessing leadership styles and organisational context. Journal of Managerial Psychology 20(2), 105-23 (2005).
- [12] Geoghegan, L., Dulewicz, V.: Do project managers' leadership competencies contribute to project success? Project Management Journal 39(4), 58-67 (2008).
- [13] Dreyfus, C. R.: Identifying competencies that predict effectiveness of R&D managers. Journal of Management Development 27(1), 76-91 (2008).
- [14] The New Oxford Dictionary of English. Oxford New York: Oxford University Press (1998).
- [15] Chalarak, N., Sasaki, Y., Uchihira, N.: Difficulties of Global R&D Projects: A Bridge Manager's Perspective. International Journal of Innovation and Technology Management, (in press).
- [16] Chalarak, N., Sasaki, Y., Uchihira, N.: Competencies of bridge managers for facilitating global R&D projects: Toward their competency development framework. 2019 Portland International Conference on Management of Engineering and Technology (PICMET), pp. 1-6. (2019).
- [17] Jang, S.: Cultural brokerage and creative performance in multicultural teams. Organization Science 28(6), 993-1009 (2017).
- [18] Nonaka, I., Takeuchi, H.: The knowledge-creating company: How Japanese companies create the dynamics of innovation: Oxford university press (1995).
- [19] Tiina, J.: Global leadership competencies: A review and discussion. Journal of European Industrial Training 29(3), 199-216 (2005).
- [20] Tekleab, A. G., Sims Jr, H. P., Yun, S., Tesluk, P. E., Cox, J.: Are we on the same page? Effects of self-awareness of empowering and transformational leadership. Journal of Leadership & Organizational Studies 14(3), 185-201 (2008).
- [21] Smith, B. W., Dalen, J., Wiggins, K., Tooley, E., Christopher, P., Bernard, J.: The brief resilience scale: Assessing the ability to bounce back. International journal of behavioral medicine 15(3), 194-200 (2008).
- [22] Rubin, R. S., Dierdorff, E. C.: How relevant is the MBA? Assessing the alignment of required curricula and required managerial competencies. Academy of Management Learning & Education 8(2), 208-24 (2009).
- [23] Adler, N. J., Bartholomew, S.: Managing globally competent people. Academy of Management Perspectives 6(3), 52-65 (1992).
- [24] Caligiuri, P.: Developing global leaders. Human Resource Management Review 16(2), 219-28 (2006).
- [25] Arslan, A., Haapanen, L., Ahokangas, P., Naughton, S.: Multicultural R&D team operations in high-tech SMEs: Role of team task environment and individual team members' personal experiences. Journal of Business Research, (2020).
- [26] Kunttu, I., Kuivalainen, J., Kohtamäki, M.: Balancing between learning and performance in R&D subsidiaries of global technology companies-multiple case study. ISPIM Conference Proceedings, pp. 1-13. The International Society for Professional Innovation Management (ISPIM), (2019).



Nawarerk Chalarak is a postdoctoral researcher at Japan Advanced Institute of Science and Technology (JAIST). He received B.Eng. in Computer Engineering from Suranaree University of Technology, M.Eng. in Information Management from Asian Institute of Technology, and M.Sc. and Ph.D. in Knowledge Science from JAIST. He

has experience working as an assistant unit manager and service coordinator in IT industry in Thailand for almost 6 years. His current research interests are in the areas of project management, R&D management, and competency development.



Naoshi Uchihira is Vice-President and Professor at School of Knowledge Science of Japan Advanced Institute of Science and Technology (JAIST). He received Dr. Eng. in Information Science and Engineering from Tokyo Institute of Technology, and Ph.D. (Knowledge Science) from JAIST in 1997 and 2010, respectively. He

worked at the corporate R&D center of Toshiba Corporation from 1982 to 2013. His current research interests include project management and digital innovation design.